

Decision Notice and Finding of No Significant Impact

1.0 Name of Action: 2024 Blowdown Salvage 2 Environmental Assessment (EA)

2.0 Description of the Proposed Action and Alternatives:

An EA was prepared to evaluate the potential environmental effects of the salvage of areas within the Menominee Forest that had blowdown in June of 2024.

Proposed Action: Menominee Tribal Enterprises is proposing to salvage harvest up to 4,613 acres of the Menominee Forest to recover the maximum quality and quantity of forest products that were damaged in a June 2024 blowdown event.

No-Action Alternative: The no-action alternative is that this specific proposal of timber management would not occur. However, other forms of forest management will continue, under other NEPA documentation.

3.0 Decision and Rationale

After reviewing the EA, and considering public and agency comments, I have decided to implement the Proposed Action as the Selected Alternative. I have chosen this alternative because it best meets the purpose and need of the project, and the Tribal goals and objectives for the trust lands.

4.0 Mitigation

In order to meet the Tribal goals and objectives, and minimize the impacts to the land, I am including in my decision the following mitigation measures:

The design measures for the timber management included in Appendix A will be adopted.

5.0 Public and Agency Involvement

The issues, concerns, and opportunities were developed through multiple methods, including prescription and treatment notice review, scoping of the tribal staff and of key staff at the BIA Midwest Regional Office, and reviewing and incorporating current tribal and BIA documents and data.

6.0 Conclusion

The Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is prepared based on the analysis provided in the EA. This FONSI presents the information that the actions will not have a significant effect on the human environment. The significance of environmental impacts must be considered in terms of context and intensity. Context refers to the affected environment where the actions occur, and

intensity considers the severity of the actions, based off of ten factors and their expected impacts (40 CFR 1508.27).

Impacts may be both beneficial and adverse

No significant beneficial or adverse effects would result from the Selected Alternative; see the “Effects to the human environment” section of the Environmental Assessment.

The degree to which the proposed action affects public health or safety

Implementation of the Selected Alternative would have no significant effects to public health and safety.

The unique characteristics of the geographic area, such as proximity to historic or cultural resources, park lands, prime farmlands, wetlands, wild and scenic rivers, or ecologically critical areas

This project is not expected to affect historic or cultural resources, park lands, prime farmlands, wetlands, wild and scenic rivers or ecologically critical areas, due to and Section 106 consultation requirements with THPO/SHPO for this project.

The degree to which the effects on the quality of the human environment are likely to be highly controversial

There have been no highly controversial comments expressed with the actions and activities as proposed. None of the actions included in the Selected Alternative are outside the normal scope of forestry-related activities currently being implemented.

The degree to which the possible effects on the human environment are highly uncertain or involve unique or unknown risks

Implementation of the Selected Alternative would not have highly uncertain or unique environmental effects. The treatments proposed are small and the effects expected to be negligible, such that no highly uncertain or unique environmental effects are anticipated.

The degree to which the action may establish a precedent for future actions with significant effects, or represents a decision in principle about a future consideration

Implementation of the Selected Alternative is not expected to set a precedent for future actions with significant effects.

Whether the action is related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant impacts

No significant cumulative effects are anticipated through the analysis for this project. The Selected Alternative represents no, negligible, or minor direct, indirect, and cumulative effects

for each resource. No other past, present or reasonably foreseeable actions or possible connected actions are anticipated to have significant effects.

The degree to which the action may adversely affect districts, sites, highways, structures, or objects listed or eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places or may cause a loss or destruction of significant scientific, cultural, or historical resources

There are no significant effects to listed, eligible for listing, or significant scientific, cultural, or historic Districts, sites, highways, objects or structures expected as a result of this action. The Section 106 consultation process has been completed, and cultural resource sites will be avoided during the project. If any cultural resources sites are found during treatment preparation or harvest they will be protected under Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin E-code: Chapter 340-20 and the National Historic Preservation Act and Archaeological Resources Protection Act. Please see appendix C for Section 106 documents.

The degree to which the action may adversely affect an endangered or threatened species or its habitat that has been determined to be critical under the Endangered Species Act of 1973

The Selected Alternative is not expected to have no effect to any Threatened or Endangered species.

Whether the action threatens to violate Federal, State, or local law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment

The actions associated with the Selected Alternative will not violate Federal, State, or local laws, or requirements for the protection of the environment. Applicable laws have been considered in the development of the Forest Management Plan, and in the Environmental Assessment.

Based on the findings of the 2024 Blowdown Salvage 2 EA, it has been determined that the proposed action will not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment; therefore an environmental impact statement is not required.

Approved by:

**JAMES
REDMAN**

Digitally signed by
JAMES REDMAN
Date: 2024.08.02
09:58:25 -05'00'

Acting

Date: _____

Regional Director
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Midwest Regional Office